



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,198	12/28/2001	Jong Dac Kim	0465-0883P	5402
2292	7590	03/10/2006	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			NELSON, ALECIA DIANE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. ***Claims 1, 5, 11, 16, 19, and 20*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,529,181).

With reference to **claims 1, 11, and 16**, Nakano et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device comprising a LCD panel (10); a plurality of source drivers (130) applying data signals to the LCD panel; a plurality of gate drivers (140) applying gate driving signals to the LCD panel; a timing controller (100) outputting to the source drivers at least two clock signals (D4, 131; D5, 132) having different phases (see column 6, lines 30-37), the timing controller separately outputting RGB (134) data synchronized with

Art Unit: 2675

each output signal to the source drivers (see column 7, lines 15-23); and at least two data buses transmitting the data separately output from the timing controller to the source drivers (see column 6, line 30-column 7, line 23), wherein the at least two data buses are connected between the timing controller and the respective source drivers (see Figure 1), a number of data buses (131-133,141) are in proportion to a number of clock signals (D4, D5, D1, G1) output from the timing controller (100), and the source drivers (130) separately sample the data (see column 6, lines 21-63). With further reference to **claims 11 and 16**, Nakano et al. also teaches that the first clock signal (D4) is transmitted to odd-numbered drain drivers (130) and clock signal (D5) is transmitted to even numbered drain drivers (130) (see column 6, lines 38-43).

With reference to **claim 5**, Nakano et al. teaches that the first and second clock signals (D4, D5) have opposite phase to each other (see column 6, lines 30-37).

With reference to **claims 19 and 20**, Nakano et al. teaches that the at least two data buses (131-133, 141) are separated from each other (see Figure 1).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2675

5. **Claims 3, 4, 7-9, 12-15, 17, and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,529,181) in view of Uchino (U.S. Patent No. 6,040,816)

With reference to **claims 3, 4, 12, and 14**, While Nakano et al. teaches all as required and explained above with reference to **claims 1 and 11**, there fails to be any teaching of the timing controller outputting data synchronized with the rising and falling edge time of each clock signal.

Uchino teaches that the data is synchronized with a rising edge time and falling edge time of each clock signal (see Figure 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the data to be synchronized with the rising and falling edge time of the clock signal as taught by Uchino in the system similar to that which is taught by Nakano et al. in order to thereby reduce noise and providing a clearer display to the user.

With reference to **claims 7, 8, 13, 15, 17, and 18**, While Nakano et al. teaches all as required and explained above with reference to **claims 1 and 11**, there fails to be any teaching of the source driver samples data in the falling edge time when the data synchronized with the rising edge timing or that the driver samples data in the rising edge time when the data synchronized in the falling edge timing is output.

Uchino teaches that the source driver samples data (A1-A3) synchronized with a rising edge of the data synchronized with a falling edge of each clock signal that is output (see Figure 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the data to be synchronized with the rising and falling edge time of the clock signal as taught by Uchino in the system similar to that which is taught by Nakano et al. in order to thereby reduce noise and providing a clearer display to the user.

With reference to **claim 9**, while Nakano et al. teaches a first clock signal (D4) for driving odd drain drivers and a second clock signal (D5) for driving even drain drivers (see column 6, lines 38-43), there fails to be any disclosure of the odd numbered display data output being synchronized with a rising edge of the first clock signal, or an even numbered display data synchronized with a rising edge of the second clock signal is output.

Uchino teaches that the source driver samples data (A1-A3) synchronized with a rising edge of the data synchronized with a falling edge of each clock signal that is output (see Figure 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for synchronization as taught by Uchino in a system which drives odd and even display data as taught by Nakano et al. in order to reduce the amount of crosstalk and thereby enhancing the resolution of the liquid crystal panel.

6. **Claims 2, 6, and 10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakano et al. as applied to **claim 1** above, and further in view of Itakura (U.S. Patent No. 5,252,957).

With reference to **claim 2, 6, and 10** Nakano et al. teaches all that is required as explained above, however fail to specifically teach that the number of data busses is in proportion to the number of clock signals.

Itakura teaches an AMLCD wherein three busses carry three clock signals (CK1-3) and three different busses carry video data R, G, and B (see Figure 1). With further reference to claim 6, it is taught that the three clock signals have different phases to one another (see Figure 3).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow the usage of the same amount of data busses as clock busses as taught by Itakura in a device similar to that which is disclosed by Nakano in order to thereby further reduce the amount of crosstalk in order to enhance the display qualities.

### ***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/09/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Nakano and Uchino fails to disclose or suggest the timing controller separately outputting R/G/B data synchronized with each output signal to the source drivers and the source drivers separately sample the data to thereby reduce electricity consumption. However, it is the examiner's position that Nakano teaches the timing controller (100) separately outputting RGB data

Art Unit: 2675

synchronized with each output signal to the source drivers (see column 6, lines 21-29, column 7, lines 15-23). As to the newly recited limitation of the separate sampling of the data to reduce electricity consumption, the MPEP describes the limitation to be written in Functional Language, wherein if the structure is taught then it is an inherent function for the structure to obtain the same results (see MPEP 2114). Therefore, it is the examiner's position since Nakano teaches the structural limitations as recited in the claims the device would therefore reduce electricity consumption.

### ***Conclusion***

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alecia D. Nelson whose telephone number is 571-272-7771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 2675

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

adn/ADN  
March 2, 2006



**KENT CHANG**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**